



Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

at the particular time, even though she may have been previously married. *Pratt v. Mathew*, *supra*; *Clarke v. Colls*, *supra*. And a widower is an unmarried man. *Peters v. Balke*, 170 Ill. 304, 48 N. E. 1012.

In the only other case on record, the facts of which are exactly in accord with those of the principal case, the court construed the statute not to include a divorced woman, on the ground that it was not intended for the protection of married women who had known men and were thus in a way rendered immune to the seducer's wiles. *Jennings v. Commonwealth*, *supra*.

DEATH—ABSENCE FOR SEVEN YEARS—PRESUMPTION OF TIME OF DEATH.—A person whose life was insured left home and was unheard of for several years, and the premiums on the policy were allowed to go unpaid after the first year of his disappearance. Prior to the expiration of seven years his administrator brought an action to have the policy restored. *Held*, the policy was forfeited by a failure to pay the premiums, as the law presumes death at the expiration of seven years and such presumption is not retroactive. *Murphy v. Metropolitan Life Ins. Co.*, 155 N. Y. Supp. 1062. See NOTES, p. 451.

EVIDENCE—RAPE—PREVIOUS UNCHASTITY OF PROSECUTRIX TO SHOW PROBABILITY OF CONSENT.—In a prosecution for rape the defendant offered evidence of acts of intercourse between the prosecutrix and other men for the purpose of showing probability of consent. *Held*, the evidence is admissible. *Lee v. State* (Tenn.), 179 S. W. 145. See NOTES, p. 448.

EVIDENCE—TRAILING BY BLOODHOUND—ADMISSIBILITY IN CRIMINAL CASES.—In a prosecution for burglary the state introduced evidence to show that shortly after the crime was committed bloodhounds were placed on the tracks of the supposed burglar, and that the dogs as if following these tracks went to the house of the accused. The evidence was admitted and the court instructed the jury that it could be considered as corroborative circumstantial evidence, if it appeared that the dogs were of pure blood, certain and reliable in the trailing of human beings, and that they were placed upon tracks which circumstances indicated were those of the burglar. *Held*, the evidence was properly admitted. *Aiken v. State* (Ga.), 86 S. E. 1076.

Though the use of bloodhounds in trailing fugitives from justice seems to have been of ancient origin, the first practical use to which they were put in this country was to aid in the capture and arrest of fugitive slaves. In such cases, however, the object sought was the finding of a known individual and if they brought to bay the wrong person that fact could be ascertained with certainty. But the modern use of dogs to furnish evidence to convict some citizen of a crime is a radical departure from the old form, and the competency of such evidence in a criminal case, is a debatable question, depending on the character, training and experience of such animals, and the conditions and circumstances of each case. While the authorities vary somewhat as to the prerequisite preliminary proof, there is a tendency to admit such evidence after a proper foundation for its introduction has been laid.

There must be evidence to connect the accused with the making of